Change of basis towards sources of zero entropy

Pablo Rotondo

LIGM, Université Gustave Eiffel

Work in progress with Valérie Berthé, Eda Cesaratto and Martín Safe

Meeting STIC-AmSud, RAPA2, Online, 11 December, 2020.

Motivation: random generation

- Random generation from coin-tosses
 - Random binary digits X_1, X_2, \ldots give uniform

$$X = (0.X_1X_2...)_2 \in [0,1].$$

• Discrete random variable Y simulated by

$$Y = k \iff X \in I_k(\mathbf{p}) := \left[\sum_{j < k} p_j, \ p_k + \sum_{j < k} p_j\right).$$

• Producing truly random (X_i) costly.

Motivation: random generation

- Random generation from coin-tosses
 - Random binary digits X_1, X_2, \ldots give uniform

$$X = (0.X_1X_2...)_2 \in [0,1].$$

• Discrete random variable Y simulated by

$$Y = k \iff X \in I_k(\mathbf{p}) := \left[\sum_{j < k} p_j, \ p_k + \sum_{j < k} p_j\right).$$

• Producing truly random (X_i) costly.

Simulation of an stochastic process

- Sequence (source) of random variables $\mathcal{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \ldots)$
- With X_1, \ldots, X_n , longest (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m) obtainable ?
- Digits (Y_i) of expansions of X in other basis \leftarrow

Motivation: numeration systems

Natural question:

• Given t binary digits d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t of

$$x = (0.d_1d_2\ldots)_2 \in [0,1].$$

▶ Number *n* of CFE-digits (partial quotients) deduced ?

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \ddots}}$$

Motivation: numeration systems

Natural question:

• Given t binary digits d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t of

$$x = (0.d_1d_2\ldots)_2 \in [0,1].$$

▶ Number *n* of CFE-digits (partial quotients) deduced ?

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdot \cdot}}$$

We consider the quotient $n_t(x)/t$:

- rate of CFE digits per binary digit,
- compares relative information/redundancy of expansions.

Motivation: numeration systems

Natural question:

• Given t binary digits d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t of

$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_2 \in [0,1].$$

▶ Number *n* of CFE-digits (partial quotients) deduced ?

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots}}$$

We consider the quotient $n_t(x)/t$:

- rate of CFE digits per binary digit,
- compares relative information/redundancy of expansions.

Spoiler: there is C > 0, s.t. $n_t(x)/t \to C$ for almost every x.

General problem

▶ Classical: From source S_1 to S_2 , both of positive entropy:

 $\lim L_t(x; S_1, S_2)/t = h(S_1)/h(S_2).$

General problem

▶ Classical: From source S_1 to S_2 , both of positive entropy:

 $\lim L_t(x;S_1,S_2)/t = h(S_1)/h(S_2) \,.$ $\implies \text{ What if } h(S_1) = 0 \text{ or } h(S_2) = 0 \text{ ?}$

General problem

▶ Classical: From source S_1 to S_2 , both of positive entropy:

 $\lim L_t(x; S_1, S_2)/t = h(S_1)/h(S_2).$

 \implies What if $h(S_1) = 0$ or $h(S_2) = 0$?

• Our setting: $h(S_1) > 0$ and $S_2 \in \{\texttt{Sturm}, \texttt{Stern} - \texttt{Brocot}\}$

General problem

▶ Classical: From source S_1 to S_2 , both of positive entropy:

 $\lim L_t(x; S_1, S_2)/t = h(S_1)/h(S_2).$

- \implies What if $h(S_1) = 0$ or $h(S_2) = 0$?
- Our setting: $h(S_1) > 0$ and $S_2 \in \{\texttt{Sturm}, \texttt{Stern} \texttt{Brocot}\}$
 - Almost surely $L/t \rightarrow \infty$. More precise results?
 - Can we compare the two sources?

General problem

▶ Classical: From source S_1 to S_2 , both of positive entropy:

 $\lim L_t(x; S_1, S_2)/t = h(S_1)/h(S_2).$

 \implies What if $h(S_1) = 0$ or $h(S_2) = 0$?

• Our setting: $h(S_1) > 0$ and $S_2 \in \{\texttt{Sturm}, \texttt{Stern} - \texttt{Brocot}\}$

– Almost surely $L/t \rightarrow \infty$. More precise results?

- Can we compare the two sources?

Theorem (BCRS'??)

If S_1 is the binary source:

▶ For
$$S_2 = \texttt{Sturm}$$
, we have $\frac{1}{t} \log k \to \frac{\log 2}{2}$ for almost every x .

• For $S_2 = \texttt{Stern} - \texttt{Brocot}$, we have $\frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} \rightarrow \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2}$ in prob.

Our sources



Sturm and Stern-Brocot closely related to continued fractions:

• Continued fractions $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ as an intermediate source.

Our sources



Sturm and Stern-Brocot closely related to continued fractions:

- Continued fractions $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ as an intermediate source.
- ► For these cases, composing the arrows works (*) :

Our sources



Sturm and Stern-Brocot closely related to continued fractions:

• Continued fractions $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ as an intermediate source.

► For these cases, composing the arrows works (*) :

• For Sturm
$$\frac{\log k(x,t)}{t} \to \frac{h(\mathcal{C})}{2} \frac{h(\mathcal{B})}{h(\mathcal{C})} = \frac{\log 2}{2}$$
 for almost every x .

• For Stern-Brocot $\frac{1}{t} \frac{m(x,t)}{\log m(x,t)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{h(\mathcal{B})}{h(\mathcal{C})} = \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2}$ in probability.

Plan of the talk

1. Sources of positive entropy

2. Important zero entropy sources

3. Conclusions

First historical results for h > 0

Theorem (Lochs '64)

The rate of CF-digits per decimal satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{n_{\rm d}(x)}{{\rm d}} = \frac{6 \log 2 \log 10}{\pi^2} \doteq 0.9702701 \dots \,,$$

for almost every x.

First historical results for h > 0

Theorem (Lochs '64)

The rate of CF-digits per decimal satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{n_{\rm d}(x)}{{\rm d}} = \frac{6 \log 2 \log 10}{\pi^2} \doteq 0.9702701 \dots \,,$$

for almost every x.

"Example". The first 1000 decimal of π determine exactly 968 partial quotients of π .

First historical results for h > 0

Theorem (Lochs '64)

The rate of CF-digits per decimal satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{n_{\rm d}(x)}{{\rm d}} = \frac{6 \log 2 \log 10}{\pi^2} \doteq 0.9702701 \dots \,,$$

for almost every x.

"Example". The first 1000 decimal of π determine exactly 968 partial quotients of π .

Theorem (Faivre '98)

$$\Pr\left\{x\in[0,1]:\frac{n_{\mathsf{d}}(x)-\mathsf{d}\times a}{\sigma\sqrt{\mathsf{d}}}\leq\theta\right\}\to\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\theta}e^{-u^2/2}du\,,$$

where $a = \frac{6 \log 2 \log 10}{\pi^2}$ is the Lochs' constant, and $\sigma > 0$.

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_1 \dots d_d)_{10}, (0.d_1 \dots d_d)_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10}, (0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right],$$

where $[a_1,\ldots,a_n]=p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10}, (0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] ,$$

where $[a_1,\ldots,a_n]=p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

Characterization of $n_d(x)$

$$n_{\mathsf{d}}(x) = \max\{n \ge 0 : I_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \subset I_{n}^{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}.$$

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_1 \dots d_d)_{10}, (0.d_1 \dots d_d)_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] ,$$

where $[a_1,\ldots,a_n]=p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

Characterization of $n_{d}(x)$

$$n_{\mathsf{d}}(x) = \max\{n \ge 0 : I_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \subset I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}.$$

Lochs' Theorem inuitions:

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10}, (0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] ,$$

where $[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

Characterization of $n_{d}(x)$

$$n_{\mathsf{d}}(x) = \max\{n \ge 0 : I_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \subset I_{n}^{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}.$$

Lochs' Theorem inuitions:

▶ Length:
$$|I_d^{\mathcal{D}}(x)| = 10^{-d}$$
, $|I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n+q_{n-1})}$

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_1 \dots d_{\mathbf{d}})_{10}, (0.d_1 \dots d_{\mathbf{d}})_{10} + 10^{-\mathbf{d}}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] ,$$

where $[a_1,\ldots,a_n]=p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

Characterization of $n_{d}(x)$

$$n_{\mathsf{d}}(x) = \max\{n \ge 0 : I_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \subset I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}\,.$$

Lochs' Theorem inuitions:

► Length:
$$|I_d^{\mathcal{D}}(x)| = 10^{-d}$$
, $|I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n+q_{n-1})}$

▶ Typical continuants: $\log q_n(x) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{12\log 2}n$, Levy's constant.

• Decimal expansion
$$x = (0.d_1d_2...)_{10}$$

$$I_{d}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = [(0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10}, (0.d_{1} \dots d_{d})_{10} + 10^{-d}].$$

• Continued fraction expansion $x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots]$

$$I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \left[[a_1, \dots, a_n, 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n, 0] \right] = \left[\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] ,$$

where $[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = p_n/q_n$ is the reduced fraction.

Characterization of $n_d(x)$

$$n_{\mathsf{d}}(x) = \max\{n \ge 0 : I_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \subset I_{n}^{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}.$$

Lochs' Theorem inuitions:

► Length:
$$|I_d^{\mathcal{D}}(x)| = 10^{-d}$$
, $|I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n+q_{n-1})}$

▶ Typical continuants: $\log q_n(x) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{12\log 2}n$, Levy's constant.

► Take log of lengths :
$$-\log |I_d^{\mathcal{D}}(x)| \approx -\log |I_n^{\mathcal{C}}(x)|$$

Definition (System of partitions)

Sequence of topological partitions $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_n)$ of [0,1]

- \mathcal{P}_{n+1} refinement of \mathcal{P}_n for every n.
- $\blacktriangleright \|\mathcal{P}_n\| = \sup\{\mathtt{diam}(I) : I \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \text{ tends to } 0.$

Definition (System of partitions)

Sequence of topological partitions $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_n)$ of [0,1]

•
$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$$
 refinement of \mathcal{P}_n for every n .

•
$$\|\mathcal{P}_n\| = \sup\{\mathtt{diam}(I) : I \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$$
 tends to 0.

Systems of partitions define sources with (a.e.) continuous coding

• labeling functions
$$\rho_n \colon \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{A}$$
,

▶ for
$$I \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$$
, ρ_n injective over $\mathcal{P}_n|_I := \{J \in \mathcal{P}_n, J \cap I \neq \emptyset\}.$

Definition (System of partitions)

Sequence of topological partitions $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_n)$ of [0,1]

•
$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$$
 refinement of \mathcal{P}_n for every n .

•
$$\|\mathcal{P}_n\| = \sup\{\mathtt{diam}(I) : I \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$$
 tends to 0 .

Systems of partitions define sources with (a.e.) continuous coding

• labeling functions
$$\rho_n \colon \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{A}$$
,

- ▶ for $I \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, ρ_n injective over $\mathcal{P}_n|_I := \{J \in \mathcal{P}_n, J \cap I \neq \emptyset\}.$
- notation $I_n^{\mathcal{P}}(x) = I \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $x \in I$

Definition (System of partitions)

Sequence of topological partitions $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_n)$ of [0,1]

•
$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$$
 refinement of \mathcal{P}_n for every n .

•
$$\|\mathcal{P}_n\| = \sup\{\mathtt{diam}(I) : I \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$$
 tends to 0.

Systems of partitions define sources with (a.e.) continuous coding

• labeling functions
$$\rho_n \colon \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{A}$$
,

▶ for $I \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, ρ_n injective over $\mathcal{P}_n|_I := \{J \in \mathcal{P}_n, J \cap I \neq \emptyset\}.$

• notation $I_n^{\mathcal{P}}(x) = I \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $x \in I$

unique coding $\varphi \colon x \mapsto (d_1 d_2 \ldots)_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $d_n = \rho_n(I_n^{\mathcal{P}}(x))$.

Entropy of a partition

Entropy dictates size of intervals

► Shannon entropy¹:

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_k} |I| \log |I| .$$

► *Point-wise*: for almost every *x*

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left| I_k^{\mathcal{P}}(x) \right| \,.$$

¹We only consider Lebesgue measure here.

Entropy of a partition

Entropy dictates size of intervals

► Shannon entropy¹:

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_k} |I| \log |I| .$$

► *Point-wise*: for almost every *x*

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left| I_k^{\mathcal{P}}(x) \right| \,.$$

Point-wise to Shannon

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{k} \log \left| I_k^{\mathcal{P}}(x) \right| \right].$$

¹We only consider Lebesgue measure here.

Entropy of a partition

Entropy dictates size of intervals

► Shannon entropy¹:

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_k} |I| \log |I| .$$

► *Point-wise*: for almost every *x*

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left| I_k^{\mathcal{P}}(x) \right| \,.$$

Point-wise to Shannon

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{k} \log \left|I_k^{\mathcal{P}}(x)\right|\right].$$

Notation. For associated source: $I_n^{\mathcal{S}}(x)$, $H(\mathcal{S})$ and $h(\mathcal{S})$.

¹We only consider Lebesgue measure here.

Generalization Lochs': positive entropy Lochs' index for sources S^1, S^2

$$L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2) := \max\{m \ge 0 : I_n^{\mathcal{S}^1}(x) \subset I_m^{\mathcal{S}^2}(x)\},\$$

number of digits of S^2 deduced from n digits from S^1 .

Generalization Lochs': positive entropy Lochs' index for sources S^1, S^2

$$L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2) := \max\{m \ge 0 : I_n^{\mathcal{S}^1}(x) \subset I_m^{\mathcal{S}^2}(x)\},\$$

number of digits of S^2 deduced from n digits from S^1 .

Theorem (Dajani, Fieldsteel, 2001)

Consider sources S^1 and S^2 , with defined and positive point-wise entropies $h(S^1)$ and $h(S^2)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2) = \frac{h(\mathcal{S}^1)}{h(\mathcal{S}^2)}$$

for a.e. x.

Generalization Lochs': positive entropy Lochs' index for sources S^1, S^2

$$L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2) := \max\{m \ge 0 : I_n^{\mathcal{S}^1}(x) \subset I_m^{\mathcal{S}^2}(x)\},\$$

number of digits of S^2 deduced from n digits from S^1 .

Theorem (Dajani, Fieldsteel, 2001)

Consider sources S^1 and S^2 , with defined and positive point-wise entropies $h(S^1)$ and $h(S^2)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2) = \frac{h(\mathcal{S}^1)}{h(\mathcal{S}^2)}$$

for a.e. x.

- Binary. Since $|I_t^{\mathcal{B}}(x)| = 2^{-t}$, $h(\mathcal{B}) = \log 2$.
- Continued fractions. Intervals satisfy $|I_k^{\mathcal{C}}(x)| = \Theta((q_k(x))^{-2})$

$$h(\mathcal{C}) = 2 \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log q_k(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{6 \log 2}.$$

Existence of point-wise entropy

Theorem (Shannon, McMillan, Breiman)

Let T be an ergodic measure preserving transformation on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and let P be a finite or countable generating partition for T for which $H_{\mu}(P) < \infty$. Then for μ -a.e. x,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{\log \mu \left(P_n(x) \right)}{n} = h_{\mu}(T) \,.$$

Here $H_{\mu}(P)$ denotes the entropy of the partition P, $h_{\mu}(T)$ the entropy of T and $P_n(x)$ denotes the element of the partition $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}P$ containing x.
Existence of point-wise entropy

Theorem (Shannon, McMillan, Breiman)

Let T be an ergodic measure preserving transformation on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and let P be a finite or countable generating partition for T for which $H_{\mu}(P) < \infty$. Then for μ -a.e. x,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{\log \mu \left(P_n(x) \right)}{n} = h_{\mu}(T) \,.$$

Here $H_{\mu}(P)$ denotes the entropy of the partition P, $h_{\mu}(T)$ the entropy of T and $P_n(x)$ denotes the element of the partition $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}P$ containing x.

We recall that

$$h_{\mu}(T) = \sup\{h_{\mu}(T, \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \text{ countable partition of } X\},\$$

and

$$h_{\mu}(T,\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\mathcal{A}(U), \mathcal{A}(TU), \dots, \mathcal{A}\left(T^{n-1}U\right)\right),$$

where U is distributed according to μ .

Farey partition (Sturm source) and Stern-Brocot partition built by splitting intervals at *mediant*

$$\texttt{mediant}(a/b,c/d):=(a+b)/(c+d)\,.$$

Farey partition (Sturm source) and Stern-Brocot partition built by splitting intervals at *mediant*

$$\operatorname{mediant}(a/b,c/d) := (a+b)/(c+d)$$
.

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :

- Base case: $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{[0,1]\}.$
- ▶ Building \mathcal{F}_k : split $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ ∈ \mathcal{F}_{k-1} , if $b + d \le k + 1$.

Stern-Brocot partition SB_m :

- Base case: $SB_0 = \{[0, 1]\}.$
- ▶ Building SB_m : split $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d} \end{bmatrix} \in SB_{m-1}$ always.

Our zero entropy sources

Farey partition (Sturm source) and Stern-Brocot partition built by splitting intervals at *mediant*

 $\texttt{mediant}(a/b,c/d):=(a+b)/(c+d)\,.$

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :

▶ Building \mathcal{F}_k : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$, if $b + d \leq k + 1$. Stern-Brocot partition SB_m :

- Base case: $SB_0 = \{[0, 1]\}.$
- ▶ Building SB_m : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in SB_{m-1}$ always.



Our zero entropy sources

Farey partition (Sturm source) and Stern-Brocot partition built by splitting intervals at *mediant*

 $\texttt{mediant}(a/b,c/d):=(a+b)/(c+d)\,.$

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :

▶ Building \mathcal{F}_k : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$, if $b + d \leq k + 1$. Stern-Brocot partition SB_m :

- Base case: $SB_0 = \{[0, 1]\}.$
- ▶ Building SB_m : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in SB_{m-1}$ always.



Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :Stern-Brocot partition \mathcal{SB}_m : $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}, b+d \leq k+1$ $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_{m-1}$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_k$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_m$

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :Stern-Brocot partition \mathcal{SB}_m : $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}, b+d \leq k+1$ $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_{m-1}$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_k$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_m$

Related to *continued fractions*. Mediants of $x \in [\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n}]$, yield²

$$\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \le \frac{p_{n-1} + p_n}{q_{n-1} + q_n} \le \dots \le \frac{p_{n-1} + rp_n}{q_{n-1} + rq_n} \le x \le \frac{p_n}{q_n},$$

²We keep only the interval containing x.

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :Stern-Brocot partition \mathcal{SB}_m : $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}, b+d \leq k+1$ $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_{m-1}$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_k$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_m$

Related to *continued fractions*. Mediants of $x \in [\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n}]$, yield²

$$\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \le \frac{p_{n-1} + p_n}{q_{n-1} + q_n} \le \dots \le \frac{p_{n-1} + rp_n}{q_{n-1} + rq_n} \le x \le \frac{p_n}{q_n},$$

the quotient a_{n+1} giving largest $r \ge 1$ such that $\frac{p_{n-1}+rp_n}{q_{n-1}+rq_n} = \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} \le x.$

²We keep only the interval containing x.

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :Stern-Brocot partition \mathcal{SB}_m : $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}, b+d \leq k+1$ $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_{m-1}$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_k$ $\Rightarrow \left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right], \left[\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{SB}_m$

Related to *continued fractions*. Mediants of $x \in [\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n}]$, yield

$$\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \le \frac{p_{n-1} + p_n}{q_{n-1} + q_n} \le \dots \le \frac{p_{n-1} + rp_n}{q_{n-1} + rq_n} \le x \le \frac{p_n}{q_n},$$

the quotient a_{n+1} giving largest $r \ge 1$ such that $\frac{p_{n-1}+rp_n}{q_{n-1}+rq_n} = \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} \le x$. Lemma

Let
$$I_{r,n} = \left[\frac{p_{n-1}+rp_n}{q_{n-1}+rq_n}, \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right], \ 0 \le r < a_{n+1}$$
:
For Farey: $q_{n-1} + rq_n \le k + 1 < q_{n-1} + (r+1)q_n, \ I_{r,n} = I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.
For Stern-Brocot: $m = a_1 + \ldots + a_n + r, \ I_{r+1,n} = I_m^{\mathcal{SB}}(x)$.

Farey partition

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :

• Base case:
$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{[0,1]\}.$$

▶ Building \mathcal{F}_k : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ at mediant $\frac{a+c}{b+d}$, if $b+d \leq k+1$.



Properties:

- \mathcal{F}_k determines char. Sturmian word up to (k-1)-th symbol.
- The end-points \mathcal{F}_k are exactly $\{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \le a \le b \le k+1\}$.
- Small number: $\Theta(k^2)$ intervals in \mathcal{F}_k

Farey partition

Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k :

• Base case:
$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{[0,1]\}.$$

▶ Building \mathcal{F}_k : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ at mediant $\frac{a+c}{b+d}$, if $b+d \leq k+1$.



Properties:

- \mathcal{F}_k determines char. Sturmian word up to (k-1)-th symbol.
- The end-points \mathcal{F}_k are exactly $\{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \le a \le b \le k+1\}$.
- Small number: $\Theta(k^2)$ intervals in $\mathcal{F}_k \Rightarrow$ entropy 0.

Theorem (Lochs' index of Farey) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log L_n(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\log 2}{2}.$

Theorem (Lochs' index of Farey)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log L_n(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\log 2}{2}$$

٠

Farey intervals have comparable size:

Lemma (Entropy of Farey)

For almost every x, for large $k \ge k_0(x)$

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \le \left| I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \right| \le \frac{(\log k)(\log \log k)}{k^2}$$

Theorem (Lochs' index of Farey)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log L_n(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\log 2}{2}$$

Farey intervals have comparable size:

```
Lemma (Entropy of Farey)
```

For almost every x, for large $k \ge k_0(x)$

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \le \left| I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \right| \le \frac{(\log k)(\log \log k)}{k^2}$$



Figure. Histogram of interval sizes for k = 20. $\frac{1}{20^2} = 0.0025$, $\frac{1}{20} = 0.05$.

Theorem (Lochs' index of Farey)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log L_n(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\log 2}{2}$$

Farey intervals have comparable size:

Lemma (Entropy of Farey) For almost every x, for large $k \ge k_0(x)$ $\frac{1}{k^2} \le |I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)| \le \frac{(\log k)(\log \log k)}{k^2}$

Hand-waving argument: compare sizes

$$-\log \left| I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \right| \sim 2\log k, \quad -\log \left| I_n^{\mathcal{B}} \right| = (\log 2)n.$$

Formal argument

Two steps: lower limit and upper limit.

Formal argument

Two steps: lower limit and upper limit.

▶ Intermediate source $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}$. "Triangle" inequality for transformation $\mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^2 \to \mathcal{S}^3$ $L_{j_n(x)}(x; \mathcal{S}^2, \mathcal{S}^3) \leq L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^3)$, $j_n(x) := L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2)$.

Formal argument

Two steps: lower limit and upper limit.

▶ Intermediate source
$$\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}$$
.
"Triangle" inequality for transformation $\mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^2 \to \mathcal{S}^3$
 $L_{j_n(x)}(x; \mathcal{S}^2, \mathcal{S}^3) \leq L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^3)$,
 $j_n(x) := L_n(x; \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2)$.

Upper limit follows from comparing sizes:

$$I_n^{\mathcal{B}}(x)$$
 too big for $I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$,

when $k = 2^{n/2(1+\varepsilon)}$.

Stern-Brocot partition

Stern-Brocot partition SB_m :

• Base case: $SB_0 = \{[0, 1]\}.$

• Building SB_m : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in SB_{m-1}$ always.



Stern-Brocot partition

Stern-Brocot partition SB_m :

• Base case: $SB_0 = \{[0, 1]\}.$

• Building SB_m : split $\left[\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right] \in SB_{m-1}$ always.

$SB_0: 0/1$								1/1
SB_1 :				1/2				
SB_2 :		1/3			2/3			
SB_3 :	1,	/4	2/5	3/	/5	3/	4	
<i>SB</i> ₄ :	1/5	2/7	$3/8 \ 3/7$	4/7	5/8	5/7	4/5	
- -	÷ 、		÷				· •	~

Associated to binary encoding of continued fractions:

$$[a_1, a_2, \ldots] \mapsto [0^{a_1 - 1}, 1, 0^{a_2 - 1}, 1, \ldots]$$

which follows construction of CFs by mediants.

Theorem

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} = \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2} \text{ in probability, where } m = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}).$

Theorem

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} = \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2} \text{ in probability, where } m = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}).$

Lemma

If $n = n_t(x) := L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, then

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) \le m_t(x) < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(x) \,.$

Theorem

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} = \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2} \text{ in probability, where } m = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}).$

Lemma

If $n = n_t(x) := L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \le m_t(x) < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(x).$

Proof.

Recall: depth is number of mediants taken $m = a_1 + \ldots + a_n + r$.

Theorem

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} = \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi^2} \text{ in probability, where } m = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}).$

Lemma

If $n = n_t(x) := L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \le m_t(x) < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(x).$

Proof.

Recall: depth is number of mediants taken $m = a_1 + \ldots + a_n + r$.

Theorem (Khinchin, 35)

In probability
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) = \frac{1}{\log 2}$$
.

Theorem

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{m}{\log m} = \frac{6\log 2}{\pi^2} \text{ in probability, where } m = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}).$

Lemma

If $n = n_t(x) := L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \le m_t(x) < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(x).$

Proof.

Recall: depth is number of mediants taken $m = a_1 + \ldots + a_n + r$.

Theorem (Khinchin, 35)

In probability
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) = \frac{1}{\log 2}$$
.

Proof sketch for Stern-Brocot.

To use Khinchin, we use concentration [Faivre98] of $n = L_t(x; \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$.

Sums of partial quotients: why in probability?

Lemma

With probability 1, for $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = 0, \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = \infty.$$

Sums of partial quotients: why in probability?

Lemma

With probability 1, for $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = 0, \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = \infty.$$

Proof. By Borel-Bernstein. Note $a_n(x) > n \log n \log \log n$ occurs an infinite number of times for a.e. x.

Sums of partial quotients: why in probability?

Lemma

With probability 1, for $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = 0, \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = \infty.$$

Proof. By Borel-Bernstein. Note $a_n(x) > n \log n \log \log n$ occurs an infinite number of times for a.e. x.

Partial sums $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)$ are regular when we take out $\max a_i(x)$

Theorem (Diamond, Vaaler, 98)

For large enough $n \ge N_0(x)$, there is $0 \le \vartheta_+(n,x) \le 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = \frac{1+o(1)}{\log 2} n \log n + \vartheta_+(n,x) \max_{1 \le i \le n} a_i(x) \,.$$

Set of n words x_1, \ldots, x_n emitted by $\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow Trie$ depth $t \sim \log_2 n$

- $\begin{array}{c|c|c} x_1 & \textbf{0100}101100\dots \\ x_2 & \textbf{0101}001101\dots \\ x_3 & \textbf{100}1101100\dots \\ x_4 & \textbf{1010}001001\dots \end{array}$
- *x*₅ **1011**111000...



Set of n words x_1, \ldots, x_n emitted by $\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow Trie$ depth $t \sim \log_2 n$





Then we estimate depth of the tries in our sources:

• k digits from Sturm source with $(1/t)\log k \sim \frac{\log 2}{2}$,

 $\log k \sim \log \sqrt{n}$.

Set of n words x_1, \ldots, x_n emitted by $\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow Trie$ depth $t \sim \log_2 n$



Then we estimate depth of the tries in our sources:

▶ k digits from Sturm source with $(1/t) \log k \sim \frac{\log 2}{2}$, $\log k \sim \log \sqrt{n}$.

• *m* digits from Stern-Brocot with $(1/t)m/\log m \sim \frac{6\log 2}{\pi^2}$

$$m \approx \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log n \log \log n$$

 x_5

in probability. Wrong?

Set of n words x_1, \ldots, x_n emitted by $\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow Trie$ depth $t \sim \log_2 n$



Then we estimate depth of the tries in our sources:

▶ k digits from Sturm source with $(1/t) \log k \sim \frac{\log 2}{2}$, $\log k \sim \log \sqrt{n}$.

• *m* digits from Stern-Brocot with $(1/t)m/\log m \sim \frac{6\log 2}{\pi^2}$

$$m \approx \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log n \log \log n$$

in probability. Wrong? Stern-Brocot intervals very uneven...

We have discussed two sources defined from the mediants

- \circledast The Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k
 - is seemingly irregular by construction, but
 - has regular interval lengths $\left|I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right| \approx k^{-2}$ (log "entropy"?)
 - number of digits produced from t binary one is exponential.
- ❀ The Stern-Brocot partition
 - is seemingly more regular, intervals always split yet
 - results require convergence in probability
 - number of digits produced from t binary is $m \sim t \log t.$

We have discussed two sources defined from the mediants

- \circledast The Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k
 - is seemingly irregular by construction, but
 - has regular interval lengths $\left|I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right| \approx k^{-2}$ (log "entropy"?)
 - number of digits produced from t binary one is exponential.
- ❀ The Stern-Brocot partition
 - is seemingly more regular, intervals always split yet
 - results require convergence in probability
 - number of digits produced from t binary is $m \sim t \log t.$

Questions and further work

1. Coming back from zero entropy to positive entropy is more irregular.

We have discussed two sources defined from the mediants

- \circledast The Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k
 - is seemingly irregular by construction, but
 - has regular interval lengths $\left|I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right| \approx k^{-2}$ (log "entropy"?)
 - number of digits produced from t binary one is exponential.
- ❀ The Stern-Brocot partition
 - is seemingly more regular, intervals always split yet
 - results require convergence in probability
 - number of digits produced from t binary is $m \sim t \log t.$

Questions and further work

- 1. Coming back from zero entropy to positive entropy is more irregular.
- 2. General chain rules $\mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^2 \to \mathcal{S}^3$? Partial results.

We have discussed two sources defined from the mediants

- \circledast The Farey partition \mathcal{F}_k
 - is seemingly irregular by construction, but
 - has regular interval lengths $\left|I_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right| \approx k^{-2}$ (log "entropy"?)
 - number of digits produced from t binary one is exponential.
- ❀ The Stern-Brocot partition
 - is seemingly more regular, intervals always split yet
 - results require convergence in probability
 - number of digits produced from t binary is $m \sim t \log t.$

Questions and further work

- 1. Coming back from zero entropy to positive entropy is more irregular.
- 2. General chain rules $\mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^2 \to \mathcal{S}^3$? Partial results.
- 3. Get a formal link with trie depth ?
Thank you!

References



A. Khintchine,

Metrische kettenbruchprobleme, Compositio Mathematica1, pp. 361–382, 1935.

G. Lochs.

Die ersten 968 Kettenbruchnenner von π , Monatsh. Math. 67, pp. 311-316, 1963.



Harold G. Diamond and Jeffrey D. Vaaler, Estimates for partial sums of continued fraction partial quotients, Pacific J. Math.122, no. 1, pp 73-82, 1986.

C. Faivre.

A central limit theorem related to decimal and continued fraction expansion,

Arch. Math. (Basel) 70, no. 6, pp 455–463, 1998.



K. Dajani, and A. Fieldsteel,

Equipartition of Interval Partitions and an Application to Number Theory,

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol 129, n. 12, pp. 3453-3460. 2001.